



**TRIBAL CONSULTATION ON POTENTIAL
FUTURE TRIBAL SET-ASIDE FUNDING**
SILVER CREEK EVENT CENTER, FOUR WINDS CASINO RESORT
NEW BUFFALO, MICHIGAN
TUESDAY, AUGUST 20, 2019

OVC TRIBAL CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

Question: How should OVC allocate tribal set-aside funding to increase programs, access and resources for American Indian and Alaska Native crime victims and those that serve them?

Question: What changes to the sample formulas would you suggest? **See page 2 on back*

- In your community, what are the major factors that impact your ability to deliver services to victims (i.e. geographical distance? population? others?)
- Are there other formulas you would like to propose for consideration?

Question: Should there be a cap on the amount of funds awarded and if so, how should that cap be determined?

Question: Should OVC use some of the funding to establish resources or technical assistance or evaluation centers physically located in Alaska and strategic areas in the lower 48 to engage directly with tribes, tribal organizations and crime victim advocates to better assist them in assessing the needs of AI/AN crime victims and help to develop plans for addressing those needs?

Question: What other specific assistance could OVC provide to help existing programs, support innovative ideas to expand programs, and provide additional training and technical assistance?

OVC TRIBAL CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

Addendum

*Possible Formula Examples

Formula 1: The full amount of available funding is divided equally among all tribal applicants without regard to population or any other factor.

Formula 2: Funding is divided into 50% base and 50% formula: Each tribal applicant is allocated \$116,667 in base funding and the remaining funds are distributed on a per capita basis.

Formula 3: Funding is divided into 50% base and 50% formula ranking: Each tribal applicant is allocated \$116,667 in base funding and the remaining funds are distributed based on formula ranking. Formula funds would be allocated to the highest ranking tribes until funding was exhausted such that all tribes would receive base funding with another approximately 20% receiving formula funding. *Note:* This could include as many factors as desired in the formula rankings.

Formula 4: Funding is divided into 40% base, 40% formula, and 20% competitive. Each tribal applicant is allocated \$93,333 in base funding (using the hypothetical numbers above) and formula funds are distributed on a per capita basis. Competitive funds would be distributed through a separate competitive solicitation, or as part of a comprehensive application process for various victim services projects.

Formula 5: Funding is divided into 40% base, 40% formula ranking, and 20% competitive. Each tribal applicant is allocated \$93,333 in base funding, and formula funds are distributed based on formula ranking. Formula funds would be allocated to the highest ranking tribes until funding was exhausted such that all tribes would receive base funding with another approximately 20% receiving formula funding. Competitive funds would be distributed through a separate competitive solicitation, or as part of a comprehensive application process for various victim services projects.

Formula 6: Funding is divided into 75% formula and 25% competitive. Each tribal applicant is allocated formula funding distributed on a per capita basis. Competitive funds would be distributed through a separate competitive solicitation, or as part of a comprehensive application process for various victim services projects.

Formula Scenarios (assumes \$70,000,000 in available funding and 300 applicants)

	Census Pop.	Formula 1	Formula 2	Formula 3	Formula 4	Formula 5	Formula 6
TRIBE A	590	\$233,333	\$124,284	\$116,667	\$99,427	\$93,333	\$104,897
TRIBE B	3,762	\$233,333	\$165,235	\$116,677	\$132,188	\$93,333	\$131,223
TRIBE C	23,459	\$233,333	\$419,531	\$1,400,000	\$1,035,625	\$1,820,000	\$994,698
TRIBE D	193,275	\$233,333	\$2,611,917	\$1,400,000	\$2,789,533	\$1,820,000	\$2,404,089